

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/054,180	04/01/1998	BRIAN J. REISTAD	06543035001	2217	
24573 7.	590 07/25/2002				
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			SOUGH, HY	SOUGH, HYUNG SUB	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
Advisory Action	09/054,180	REISTAD ET AL.	4
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hyung S. Sough	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with the	correspond nce address	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in	èd
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee un the final Office action; or (2) as set for	nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	**		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendm	ent
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place to	he
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	·
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims were appeared to the control of the control			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		Hyung \$ Sough Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3621	

Continuation Sh t (PTO-303) 009/054,180

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: claims 3 and 37, the newly added limitaion, i.e. "a plurality of modular elements whose individual integrity is protected by embedding cryptographic security codes within each of the modular elements", requir s further considerationand/or search

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because of the reasons stated in the last Office action (paper no. 20). However, the proposed amendment would obviate the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.